

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/619,793	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CANTRELL, DANIEL V.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Dionne A. Walls	<b>Art Unit</b> 1731	

**All Participants:**

(1) Dionne A. Walls.

(2) Charles Lamb.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 December 2003
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

1-13

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested that claims 7-13, drawn to an inner wrap for a smoking article, be cancelled in order to place the Application in condition for allowance since the Examiner determined that the remaining claims, 1-6, drawn to a smoking article were allowable. Also, the Examiner suggested including the words -- said inner wrap --, in claim 1, to clarify the fact that it is the inner wrap, not the outer, that contains the claimed percentage of wood and flax fibers. Mr. Lamb agreed and such is reflected in the attached Examiner's amendment.